REMARKS

The examiner rejected claims 21-26 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, paragraph 2 as being indefinite—requiring appropriate correction. As the amendment to claim 21 overcomes the rejection, entry of the amendment is requested.

Furthermore, claim 21 has been amended to clarify that the instructions enable *the device* to transport the video containing the uniform resource locator. As the examiner bases the rejection of claim 21 on Hidary's alleged disclosure of a video distribution *device* 66, which stores *software*, the proposed amendment does not require additional search or consideration and puts the claim in better form for appeal.

In view of the amendments herein, the application is in better condition for appeal. The examiner's prompt action in accordance therewith is requested. The commissioner is authorized to charge any necessary fees or to credit any overpayment to deposit account 20-1504 (ITL.0367US).

Respectfully submitted,

Date: August 23, 2006

Rhonda L. Sheldon, Reg. No. 50,457
TROP, PRUNER & HU, P.C.
1616 S. Voss Road, Suite 750
Houston, TX 77057
713/468-8880 [Phone]
713/468-8883 [Fax]

Attorneys for Intel Corporation